## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The claims have been divided into Groups as follows:

Group I: Claims 1-9, drawn to an aqueous dispersion of a reactive size.

Group II: Claim 10, drawn to a process for the preparation of an aqueous dispersion

of a reactive size.

Group III: Claims 11 and 12, drawn to a process for the engine sizing of paper.

Applicants elect, with traverse, Group I, Claims 1-9 (drawn to an aqueous dispersion of a reactive size), for examination.

Restriction is only proper if the claims of the restricted groups are independent or patentably distinct and there would be a serious burden placed on the Examiner if restriction is not required (MPEP §803). The burden is on the Examiner to provide reasons and/or examples to support any conclusion in regard to patentable distinction (MPEP §803). Moreover, when citing lack of unity of invention in a national stage application, the Examiner has the burden of explaining why each group lacks unity with each other group specifically describing special technical features in each group (MPEP § 1893.03(d)).

The Office has asserted that Groups I - III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: "the groups do not share a special technical feature, as an aqueous dispersion of a reactive size which comprises a cationic polymer comprising vinylamine units as a protective colloid that contains less than 0.0001 wt% by weight of diketenes with respect to the protective colloid (WO 96/26318 A)."

Annex B of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT at (b) Technical Relationship states:

"The expression "special technical features" is defined in Rule 13.2 as meaning those technical features that defines a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The determination is made on the contents of the claims as interpreted in light of the description and drawings (if any)."

Application No. 10/589,857

Reply to Restriction Requirement of April 13, 2009

Applicants respectfully submit that the Examiner has not provided any indication that the

contents of the claims interpreted in light of the description was considered in making the

assertion of a lack of unity and therefore has not met the burden necessary to support the

assertion.

Furthermore, 37 C.F.R. § 1.475(b) states in pertinent part:

"An international or a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if

the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

(3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said

product, and a use of the said product;..."

In addition, The MPEP §806.03 states:

"Where the claims of an application define the same essential characteristics of a single disclosed embodiment of an invention, restriction therebetween should never be required. This is because the claims are not

directed to distinct inventions; rather they are different definitions of the same

disclosed subject matter, varying in breadth or scope of definition."

Applicants respectfully submit that the Office has not considered the relationship of the

inventions of Groups I-III with respect to 37 C.F.R. § 1.475(b)(3) and MPEP §806.03. Therefore

the burden necessary according to MPEP § 1893.03(d) to sustain the conclusion that the groups

lack of unity of invention has not been met.

Accordingly, and for the reasons presented above, Applicants submit that the Office has

failed to meet the burden necessary in order to sustain the requirement for restriction.

Applicants therefore request that the requirement for restriction be withdrawn.

Applicants respectfully submit that the above-identified application is now in condition

for examination on the merits, and early notice thereof is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully Submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Norman F. Oblon

Justine M. Wilbur

Registration No. 59,678

22850 Tel. (703) 413-3000

Fax. (703) 413-2220 (OSMMN 08/07)

Customer Number